A Discourse on this PROBLEM;

Why Bodies dissolved in Menstrua Specifically lighter than themselves swim therein.

By Mr. WILLIAM MOLYNEUX, of Dublin. Member of the Royal Society.

He Liberty of Philosophising being now universally granted between all men, I am sure that a difference in Opinion will be no breach of affection between two intirely Loving Brothers: And therefore I shall take the freedom to Propose my Own thoughts in a matter wherein my Brother Mr. Thomas Molyneux hath Appeared publickly in the Novelles de la Republique des Lettres, Mois d' Aout 1684. Art 4. and Meis de Janvier 1685. Art. 7. The Problem proposed is, Why Bodies dissolved float in Liquors lighter than then selves; as for Example: Mercury dissolved in strong Spirit of Nirte swims therein, tho' each small Particle of Mercury, befar heavyer than so much of the Liquor whose place it occupies. This, fays he, cannot be folved by the prime Law of Hydroftaticks, which is, that a Body which in an equal Quantity is heavyer than a like quantity of Liquor, finks in that Liquor; thus a Cubick Inch of Iron being heavier than a Cubick Inch of Aqua-Fortis, and each Particle (how small soever) of Iron being heavier then a like Particle of Aqua-Fortis; Iron being put into Aqua-Fortis should fink, and yet we find, that Iron being dissolved in a convenient Quantity of Aqua-Fortis floats therein, and does not fall to the Bottom. The Reason which my Brother gives for this is, that the Internal Motion of the Parts of the Liquor, does keep up the Particles of the diffolved

folved Solid, for they being fo very Minute, are Moveable by the least Force imaginable, and the Action of the Particles of the Menstruum, is sufficient to drive the Atomes of the diffolved folid Body from place to place; and confequently, notwithstanding their Gravity, they do not fink in the Liquor lighter than themselves. As a Proof of this in the 7th. Article of Yanvier 1685, he offers an Experiment known in Chymistry, that a Menstruum over a digesting Fire (as the Chymist speaks) will dissolve a greater Quantity of a Body put into it, than when 'tis off the Fire, and if it be taken off the Fire, and fuffered to cool, a great Portion will precipitate of that which was perfectly diffolved, whilft the Menstruum continued hot. For, Jays he, the Particles of the Menstruum acquire a more violent agitation by the Fire, and are therefore able to raife and keep up a greater Quantity of the disfolved Body, or hereby they are able to Refift a greater Gravity.

It has been objected against this Notion, that the common Experiment of precipitation, by mixing an Alkaly with an Acid, seems to contradict this; for thereby the Fluidity of the Menstruum is not taken away, and consequently, the internal Agitation of its Parts is not diminished, and yet thereupon, the Particles of the dissolved Body precipitate all to the Bottom. To this he answers in the forecited Article of January, that all Mixtures of different Liquors introduce in each a different Conformation of Pores, and therefore the Infusion of a new Liquor, drives the insensible Parts of the dissolved Body from their Places, and forces them to strike against each other, and cling together, and fo becoming more big and heavyer than formerly, the internal Agitation of the Liquor is no longer able to move and fustain them, and consequently they fall to the Bottom.

This, as fairly and shortly as I can propose it, is his Sentiment of this Phænomenon.

M 2 But

But I conceive another Account may be given of this Appearance, and that the foresaid Law of Hydrostaticks is a little deficient. 'Tis true indeed, if we confider only the specifick Gravity of a Liquor, and the specifick Gravity of a folid Particle floating therein, the forementioned Rule is exact; but in finking there is a requisite separation of the Parts of the Liquor by the finking Body; and there being a natural Inclination in the Parts of all Liquors to Union, arising from an Agreement or Congruity of their Parts, there is a relistance therein to any thing that separates this Conjunction: Now unless a Body have weight enough to overcome this Congruity or Union of Parts, such a Body will float in a Liquor specifically lighter than it self. that a heavy Body, as Mercury or Iron may have its Parts reduced to that Minuteness, that their Gravity or Tendency downwards, is not strong enough to separate the Cohesion or Union of the Parts of a Liquor, will be manifest, if we consider, that the Resistance made by the Medium to a falling Body, is according to the Superficies of the Body; but as the Body decreases in Bulk, its Superficies does not proportionably decrease; thus a Sphere of an Inch Diameter, has not eight times less Superficies than a Sphere of two Inches Diameter, tho' it have eight times less Bulk, and confequently passing through a Medium, as suppose Air or Water, the Sphere of an Inch Diameter is, proportionably to its Bulk, more refifted, than a Sphere of two Inches Diameter in proportion to its Bulk; and hence it will come to pass, that at last a Body may be reduced to that Minuteness, that its Gravity pressing downwards (which is according to its Bulk) may be less than the resistance of the Medium, which operates on the Surface of the Body; feeing as I said before, the Surfaces of Bodies do not decrease so fast as their Bulks, these decreasing in a Triplicate, but those in a Duplicate Ratio of the Bodies Diameters.

This Account does not at all oppose the Experiment of a Minstruum over the Fire, being able to dissolve or sustain

[9r]

a greater Quantity of a heavy Body; for the Reason of this, as 'tis given by my Brother, does not Contradict my Notion. The Account likewise, that He gives of Chymical Precipitation agrees very well with what I propose: So that of these I shall say no more.

But because in the beginning of my Discourse, I say that the forementioned Law of Hydrostaticks is a little desective. I defire to explain my felf a little further in that Point. In Weights falling through the Air, were Gravity only confider'd, the Proportions of their Descents would be exactly as Galileo has Demonstrated; but it is allow'd by all, that the Refistance of the Air, not being consider'd in those Demonstrations, they are not Mathematically true in Practice, but that Really there is fomething of that Proportion hindred by the Airs Refistance. Now, what is this less than to fay, that the Relistance of the Air takes off some of the Operation of Gravity, or is able to withstand or oppose part of its Action? And if so, what shall we say, were an Iron Sphere let through a Medium of Water? Surely the Proportions of its descents would be much more disturbed herein, as Water is much more folid and difficult to be feparated or passed through than Air, and consequently we must needs Grant, that more of the Operation of Gravity, is taken off or Refished by this Opposition of the Water, than that of the Air. And if so, Surely there may be a certain degree of Gravity, that may be quite taken off by the Refistance of the Water: Were a Pistol Bullet let fall through the Air, it would Descend imperceptibly nigh the Proportions that Galileo has affigned, but were a fingle grain of Sand fo let fall, it would be much himdred in its Course, and the half of this Grain would be more obstructed; what shall we then say of the ten thoufandth part, or of a part the ten thousand millionth of this. and again of the Infinite Subdivisions of that, till at last we come to a part that would be wholly refifted, or kept up; Carl

[92]

such as I conceive the Minute particles of a Body dissolved in a Menstruum.

On this account 'tis I say, that the forementioned Principle of Hydrostaticks is a little desective; for it considers not the Natural Congruity of the Parts of a Liquor, whereby they desire, as 'twere, to unite and keep together, just as we see two Drops of Water on a Dry Board being brought together do jump and Coalesce, and therefore Liquors have an innate Power of Resisting a certain degree of force that would separate them; such as I suppose the degree of Gravity in the most Minute Particles of a Body dissolved in a Menstraum.

The forementioned Rule holds true to the most nice Sense in Great Bodies but in those that are by many Millions of Divisions Smaller, it seems to fail.

This in short is my Conjecture in this matter, which I propose, as my Brother did his, with all submission imaginable, and thereby to give occasion to others to enquire into the Causes of this appearance, rather than to publish my own sentiment, as the undoubted solution thereof.

But this I must acknowledge, that the Internal motion of the parts of a Liquor feems fo very agreeable to truth, and explicates so many Phænomenea easily and plainly, that I would not be thought to deny it. Neither would I be thought wholly to Reject my Brothers folution of this Problem; for certainly that Motion (whatfoever it is) in a Menstruum, which is able to Dissolve such a solid Body as Iron, that is, which is able to disturb the close and strong Cohesion of the Parts of Iron, may very well be supposed fufficient to disturb or keep up these parts from resting in the Bottom of the Veffel, wherein the folution was made; And certainly no better account can possibly be given of fuch folutions, than by supposing such an Internal motion in the Parts of the Menstruum infinuating themselves into the folid body, and loofening its Parts. And tho' it may be objected, that in the Parts of Water there may be supposed

[93]

as Violent an Internal motion, as in the Parts of Aqua-Fortis, and yet we see Water will not dissolve Iron, as Aqua-Fortis does, and Common Bees-Wax is disturbed by neither of them, I leave the Nice Enquiry after this point to others, viz. What kind of Motion and peculiar Conformation of parts is requisite both in the Menstruum and in the Dissolved Body, that a solution may result from their Commixture.

Some Reflections on the foregoing Paper by Mr. T. M.

What my Brother has laid down in this Discourse, I think does most undeniably evince that the received Law of Hydrostaticks is somewhat defective. For Liquors, tho' they are Fluid yet they are Bodies, and therefore confift of parts united; which Union tho' it be eafily deftroy'd, yet of necessity it requires some degree of Force for the effecting it; nor is it more manifest, if rightly consider'd, that a Flint requires Force for the separation of its parts, than that Fluids do for theirs. But however, I imagine, this Property ought not to be rely'd upon as the fole Caufe of this Appearance, to which my Brother has apply'd it; nay perhaps does not fo much as concur the least in the producing this effect; my Reason in short is this: whatever is of fufficient Power to raise the minute Particles of a Heavy Body in a light Fluid, is certainly a fufficient cause to keep them in that state: now my Supposition may give some account of this; what my Brother fays, never can; for he must necessarily suppose them first raised; and then he gives the reason of their not finking: Whereas 'tis not to be questioned but that that Force which raised them, is the same that keeps them from falling to the bottom.

But these Conjectures (for I esteem them no more) I leave to the Consideration of those that desire to enquire further into this Matter.